# AB 599 Interagency Task Force (ITF)

Cal/EPA Headquarters Building 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Training Room 2 West Sacramento, CA

### **Meeting Summary**

Wednesday, September 4, 2002

## **ATTENDEES**

ITF Members: Interested Parties:

Al Vargas, CDFA
Gary Yamamoto, DHS
Dan Gallagher, DTSC
Doug Osugi, DWR
Lisa Babcock, SWRCB
John Troiano, DPR

Steve Ekstrom, The Results Group
Neil Dubrovsky, USGS
Tim Parker, Groundwater Resources Assoc.
John Borkovich, SWRCB
Sarah Raker, SF Bay RWQCB
Ken Belitz, USGS
James Giannopoulos, SWRCB

## **Convene Meeting**

• Steve Ekstrom opened the meeting at 9:40 am.

# **Approval of Previous ITF Meeting Summary**

• The meeting summary from the July 25, 2002, ITF meeting was approved by the ITF.

### Re-Cap of August 28, 2002, PAC Meeting Discussion

ITF members reviewed topics discussed at the August 28, 2002, PAC Meeting. The following is a summary of the ITF discussion:

- PAC needs to speak with one voice, since they all speak as individuals
- Local agency participation in the Program It's limited!
- Proposition 40 funding \$7M for public agencies and nonprofits to monitor groundwater

#### Statewide Groundwater Monitoring Program Overview (Ken Belitz - USGS)

Ken presented an overview of his progress on the statewide program:

- Area of basin
- Agricultural usage
- Population served
- Number of DHS Wells
- 93% of the population is reliant on groundwater (according to Bill Templin)
- 93% of the DHS wells cover each basin with greater than 20 wells (in each individual basin)
- Need to study basins under "special circumstances"
- Basins could be prioritized by number of DHS wells: > 350 wells to <20 wells (like in Basin ranges, Mojave, Imperial)

### Action Item for ITF (Steve Ekstrom):

Set up meet with David Beckman and Bill Mills of PAC to discuss how to better communicate with the PAC.

## Action Item for ITF:

Construct "Matrix" with proposed/potential alternatives:

- ➤ 10 year monitoring cycle?
- > \$10M monitoring option?
- > 50 to 100 basins per year (funding/staffing dependent)
- > GAMA program's baseline funding vulnerable to budget cuts
- ➤ Integrate existing data @ \$1 to 2M per year
- > Statewide minimal assessment for all basins; comprehensive for some?

## Action Item for ITF:

- Develop Program Matrix
- ➤ Local agency involvement in Program

## Action Item for John B.:

- > Create list of Key points from ITF meetings and send to PAC members:
  - Prioritization criteria (USGS)
  - ➤ Developed alternatives toward making the Program matrix
  - > Developed building blocks
  - > Draft Report Chapters
  - ➤ Send Hydro Glossary

## Meeting Adjourned at 3:15